

Final Oral Presentation Scoring Sheet

Team Number	0	not at all				
	1	poor or poorly				
Judge's Initials	2	fair or slightly well				
Instructions: If a team uses a prop other than the three posters, OR if the team name is identified verbally or on any clothing or the poster, DEDUCT 5 points from the final score.	3	good or fairly well				
	4	excellent or very well				
	5	Outstanding				
Part 1 Preparation and Presentation of Plan (30 points maximum)						
Circle One	0	1	2	3	4	5
A. How well did the presentation address or identify:						
• The interrelationship between the environment, natural resources, and the different natural resource management strategies?	0	1	2	3	4	5
• All the different players/interest groups affected by the problem?	0	1	2	3	4	5
• The major natural resources areas (soils/land use, aquatic ecology, forestry, wildlife)?	0	1	2	3	4	5
• The current issue?	0	1	2	3	4	5
• The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem?	0	1	2	3	4	5
B. Were references and resources cited in the team presentation?	0	1	2	3	4	5
Part I Subtotal						
Part II Application of Data (40 points maximum)						
A. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issue(s) related to the problem (regulations, mandates, impact on political system/community).	0	1	2	3	4	5
B. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem.	0	1	2	3	4	5
C. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem including the cost and benefits of the proposed plan, (cost of implementing the plan, economic impact on local resources, cost of doing nothing, future costs, funding source(s), etc.)	0	1	2	3	4	5
D. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and/or cultural issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issues, cultural issues, environmental justice).	0	1	2	3	4	5
E. The team presented one viable solution to the problem addressing the resource issue.	0	1	2	3	4	5
F. The main parts were clearly stated and supported.	0	1	2	3	4	5
G. Solution in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural resources.	0	1	2	3	4	5
H. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues?	0	1	2	3	4	5
Part II subtotal						

Part III Quality of Presentation (20 points maximum)						
A. Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion.	0	1	2	3	4	5
B. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.)	0	1	2	3	4	5
C. Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids should be correct, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.)	0	1	2	3	4	5
D. Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating.	0	1	2	3	4	5
Part III subtotal						
Part IV Required Elements (10 points maximum)						
A. Target presentation time is 5-7 minutes. Actual Presentation Time: _____minutes (timekeeper will tell you length of presentation)	0	1	2	3	4	5
B. Add up to five points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan.	0	1	2	3	4	5
Part IV subtotal						
Part V “Final 5” Presentation Only (10 points maximum)						
How thoroughly, clearly and accurately did the team answer the bonus question?						
Total Points Part I	Maximum 30					
Total Points for Part II	Maximum 40					
Total Points for Part III	Maximum 20					
Total Points Part IV	Maximum 10					
Deduct 5 points if team committed either infraction listed under “Instructions.”						
Total Points Part V (“Final 5” Presentation Only)	Maximum 10					
Final Score						